APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/059,074	01/28/2002	Gary L. Clement	GP-301227	5736

7590   11/13/2006

CHRISTOPHER DEVRIES
General Motors Corporation
Legal Staff, Mail Code 482-C23-B21
P.O. Box 300
Detroit, MI 48265-3000

EXAMINER
RIMELL, SAMUEL G

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2164	

DATE MAILED: 11/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	**Applicant(s)**
Interview Summary	10/059,074	CLEMENT ET AL.
	Examiner	**Art Unit**	
	Sam Rimell	2164	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) _Sam Rimell_.   (3)_____.

(2) _Cindy Kwacala_.   (4)_____.

Date of Interview: _06 November 2006_.

Type: a)☒ Telephonic   b)☐ Video Conference
      c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant   2)☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes   e)☒ No.
    If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☒ was reached.   g)☐ was not reached.   h)☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _Agreed that proposed amendment, as attached would overcome rejections of record and place application in condition for allowance.._

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

_____
Examiner's signature, if required

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record
A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews
Paragraph (b)
In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.
All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

———

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:
- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:
1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
2) an identification of the claims discussed,
3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
    (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

INGRASSIA FISHER & LORENZ, P.C.

7150 E. CAMELBACK, SUITE 325

SCOTTSDALE, ARIZONA 85251

Telephone: (480) 385-5060

Facsimile: (480) 385-5061

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

TO: Examiner Samuel G Rimell	FROM: Cindy H. Kwacala, Reg. No. 47,667
COMPANY: USPTO	DATE: MONDAY, NOVEMBER 06, 2006
FACSIMILE NUMBER: 571-273-4084	TOTAL NO. OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER: 7
PHONE NUMBER:	SENDER'S REFERENCE NUMBER: GP-301227
RE: PROPOSED CLAIMS	RECIPIENTS REFERENCE NUMBER: 10/059,074

URGENT   FOR REVIEW   PLEASE COMMENT   PLEASE REPLY   PLEASE RECYCLE

NOTES/COMMENTS:

PROPOSED CLAIMS FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY

PROPOSED CLAIMS

1.   (Currently Amended) An apparatus for providing on-the-job performance support of a procedure, the apparatus comprises:

a memory configured to store performance support data, said performance support data comprising action data objects, resource data objects, reference data objects, procedure data objects, and assembly data objects, each data object associated with a data object owner that has [[an]] a specified identity stored in said memory;

a processor coupled to said memory with a first network operating in a first programming language and a second network in a second programming language, said first network comprising the internet, said second network comprising an intranet, said processor configured to access said memory using at least one of said first network and said second network and retrieve said performance support data, said processor further configured to assemble said performance support data to form the procedure;

a browser coupled to at least one of said first and second networks, said browser capable of interpreting said first programming language and said second programming language; and

a display coupled to said processor, said display configured to produce a Graphical User Interface (GUI) that visually presents the procedure assembled by said processor, said display further configured to operate with said browser to produce said GUI that visually presents an administrator interface, said administrator interface configured to provide for the creation and modification of said action data objects, resource data objects, reference data objects, procedure data objects, and assembly data objects with a menu driven environment operating in conjunction with said browser, each data object capable of being modified by the associated data object owner that has said specified identity via the administrator interface wherein the apparatus is configured to allow said associated data object owner that has said specified identity to modify modifies said data object by editing said memory in which said data object is stored.

2.   (Previously Presented)   The apparatus for providing on-the-job performance support of the procedure of Claim 1, wherein each of said action data objects describes a single activity of the procedure.

3.   (Cancelled).

4.   (Previously Presented)   The apparatus for providing on-the-job performance support of the procedure of Claim 1, wherein each of said reference data objects sets forth an address to data other than the performance support data stored in said memory.

5.   (Previously Presented)   The apparatus for providing on-the-job performance support of the procedure of Claim 1, wherein each of said procedure data objects provides a series of actions for the procedure.

6.   (Previously Presented)   The apparatus for providing on-the-job performance support of the procedure of Claim 1, wherein each of said assembly data objects provides a combination of text and images that are assembled to provide a visual representation of the procedure.

7.   (Previously Presented)   The apparatus for providing on-the-job performance support of the procedure of Claim 2, wherein said administrator interface is configured to provide the ability for said associated data object owner to create and modify said action data object with a menu driven environment operating in conjunction with said browser.

8.   (Cancelled).

9.   (Cancelled).

10.   (Original) The apparatus for providing on-the-job performance support of the procedure of Claim 1, wherein said GUI is configured to provide a user interface.

11.   (Currently Amended)   A method for providing on-the-job performance support of a procedure, the method comprises:

storing performance support data in a memory, said performance support data comprising action data objects, resource data objects, reference data objects, procedure data objects, and assembly data objects, each data object associated with a data object owner that has [[an]] a specified identity stored in said memory;

retrieving said performance support data from said memory through at least one of a first network operating in a first programming language and a second network operating in a second programming language, said first network comprising the internet and said second network comprising an intranet;

assembling said performance support data to form the procedure;

using a browser capable of interpreting said first and second programming languages to provide a Graphical User Interface (GUI) that visually presents the procedure assembled from said performance support data; and

using said browser capable to produce said GUI that visually presents an administrator interface that is configured to provide for the creation and modification of said action data objects, resource data objects, reference data objects, procedure data objects, and assembly data objects by an associated a data object owner of each of said data objects that has said specified identity with a menu driven environment operating in conjunction with said browser, wherein said modification by said associated data object owner having said specified identity is made by editing said memory in which said data object is stored.

12.   (Original) The method for providing on-the-job performance support of the procedure of Claim 11, further comprising providing at least one administrator function with said administrator interface.

13.   (Original) The method for providing on-the-job performance support of the procedure of Claim 11, further comprising generating a user interface.

14.   (Original) The method for providing on-the-job performance support of the procedure of Claim 13, further comprising providing at least one user function with said user interface.

15.   (Previously Presented) The method for providing on-the-job performance support of the procedure of Claim 11, wherein each of said action data objects describes a single activity of the procedure.

16.   (Cancelled).

17.   (Previously Presented) The method for providing on-the-job performance support of the procedure of Claim 11, wherein each of said reference data objects sets forth an address to data other than the performance support data stored in said memory.

18.   (Previously Presented) The method for providing on-the-job performance support of the procedure of Claim 11, wherein each of said procedure data objects provides a series of actions for the procedure.

19.   (Previously Presented) The method for providing on-the-job performance support of the procedure of Claim 11, wherein each of said assembly data objects provides a combination of text and images that are assembled to provide a visual representation of the procedure.

20.   (Cancelled).

21.   (Currently Amended) An apparatus for providing on-the-job performance support of a procedure, the apparatus comprises:

a memory configured to store performance support data, said performance support data comprising:

action data, said action data comprises action data objects with each of said action data objects describing a single activity of the procedure;

resource data, said resource data comprises resource data objects;

reference data, said reference data comprises reference data objects with each of said reference data objects setting forth an address to data other than the performance support data stored in said memory;

procedure data, said procedure data comprises procedure data objects with each of said procedure data objects providing a series of actions for the procedure; and

assembly data said assembly data comprises assembly data objects with each of said assembly data objects providing a combination of text and images that are assembled to provide a visual representation of the procedure;

wherein each of said data objects is associated with a data object owner that has [[an]] a specified identity stored in said memory;

a processor coupled to said memory with a first network operating in a first programming language and a second network in a second programming language, said first network comprising the internet, said second network comprising an intranet, said processor configured to access said memory using at least one of said first network and said second network and retrieve said performance support data, said processor further configured to assemble said performance support data to form the procedure;

a browser coupled to at least one of said first and second networks, said browser capable of interpreting said first programming language and said second programming language; and

a display coupled to said processor, said display configured to produce a Graphical User Interface (GUI) that visually presents the procedure assembled by said processor to form the procedure, said display further configured to operate with said browser to produce said GUI that visually presents an administrator interface, said administrator interface configured to provide the ability for said data object owner to create and modify said action data objects, said resource data objects, said reference data

objects, said procedure data objects, said assembly data objects with a menu driven environment operating in conjunction with said browser, wherein the apparatus is configured to allow said ~~associated~~ data object owner having said specified identity to ~~modifies~~ modify said data object by editing said memory in which said data object is stored.